

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/849,653	05/04/2001	Katsuakira Moriwake	450108-4484.1	9010
20999	7590 07/24/2006		EXAMINER	
-	R LAWRENCE & HAU	MUHEBBULLAH, SAJEDA		
	AVENUE- 10TH FL. C, NY 10151		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
11211 1014	.,		2174	
			DATE MAILED: 07/24/2006	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

									
Office Action Summan		Appl	lication No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)				
		09/8	49,653	MORIWAKE ET	AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Exar	niner	Art Unit					
			da Muhebbullah	2174					
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communic Reply	cation appears o	n the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress				
WHICH - Extension after SI - If NO per - Failure of Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA ons of time may be available under the provisions of (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this commu- eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum stat to reply within the set or extended period for reply w by received by the Office later than three months aft patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE C f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In inication. utory period will apply rill, by statute, cause t	OF THIS COMMUN no event, however, may and will expire SIX (6) Mo the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) filed	l on 11 January	2006						
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>11 January 2006</u> . This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.								
,	<u> </u>								
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
	n of Claims	·		·					
4)⊠ C	I)⊠ Claim(s) <u>143-148</u> is/are pending in the application.								
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
·	Claim(s) <u>143-148</u> is/are rejected.								
·	laim(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or elect	ion requirement						
• ==		1011 ana/01 0100	ion roquironic.						
Application									
·	ne specification is objected to by the								
	ne drawing(s) filed on is/are:		-	-					
	pplicant may not request that any object			·					
	eplacement drawing sheet(s) including		•	• •	` ,				
11)∐ Tł	ne oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examine	er. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form P	TO-152.				
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a) <u></u>	cknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of:			. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	•				
	Certified copies of the priority of			Augusta attau Ala					
	Certified copies of the priority of				1.01				
3.	Copies of the certified copies o			en received in this Nationa	Stage				
* 500	application from the Internation	•	7 7	at rapply ad					
380	e the attached detailed Office action	IUI A IISL UI LNE	cermieu copies no	or received.					
Attachment(s			ا	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
	of References Cited (PTO-892) If Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	O-948)		v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date					
	tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P			f Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)				
	o(s)/Mail Date	•	6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/849,653 Page 2

Art Unit: 2174

DETAILED ACTION

1. This communication is responsive to Amendment filed 1/11/2006.

2. Claims 143-148 are pending in this application. Claims 143 and 146 are independent claims. This action is Final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 143-148 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McKay et al. ("MacKay", US 5,148,154) in view of Takahashi et al. ("Takahashi" US 5,537,528) and further in view of Kiuchi (US 5,644,740).

As per claim 143, MacKay teaches an editing system for editing a plurality of clips, comprising:

editing means for editing said plurality of clips to produce said edit resultant clip (col.4, lines 60-66), comprising:

an edit module for edit processing said plurality of clips (col.4, lines 60-66);

a composite module for composite processing said plurality of clips (col.4, lines 60-66);

and

a special effect module for special effect processing said plurality of clips (col.4, lines 60-66);

wherein said editing means produces module identification information indicating the processing to be performed on said plurality of clips by said edit module, said composite module, and said special effect module in producing said edit resultant clip (col.11, lines 27-38); and

user interface means for displaying and controlling graphical user interfaces corresponding to processing performed by said edit module, said composite processing module, and said special effect module (col.13, lines 1-10).

However, MacKay does not teach link information indicating a tree structure for linking said plurality of clips in producing said edit resultant clip and a clip tree window for graphically displaying said tree structure for said plurality of clips. Takahashi teaches an editing system for editing clips that graphically represents the clips in a tree structure for linking the clips together (Takahashi, col.2, lines 6-67; Fig.16, col.14, lines 37-51). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include Takahashi's teaching with MacKay's system in order to provide access to clips for easier and faster editing.

Although Takahashi teaches a clip tree window (Fig. 16), the system of MacKay and Takahashi does not explicitly show the clip tree window to display a clip name in said tree indicating whether the clip is a material clip or a resultant clip. Kiuchi teaches a system of displaying information in a hierarchical tree window indicating the type of information contained within the tree node (Kiuchi, Fig.17; col.16, lines 13-25). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include Kiuchi's teaching with the system of MacKay and Takahashi in order for the user to identify and distinguish the clips from one another.

Application/Control Number: 09/849,653

Art Unit: 2174

As per claim 144, Takahashi teaches an editing system wherein said module identification information and link information are stored in a clip database in which information relating to each of said plurality of clips is registered (Takahashi, col.8, lines 38-42

As per claim 145, Takahashi teaches the current clip to be edited from said clip tree window to be graphically designated in said clip tree window (Fig. 16, col. 14, lines 37-51).

Independent claim 146 is similar in scope to independent claim 143, and is therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 147 is similar in scope to claim 144, and is therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 148 is similar in scope to claim 145, and is therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Response to Amendment

5. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 143-148 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2174

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Communications

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sajeda Muhebbullah whose telephone number is (571) 272-4065. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday/Thursday and alt. Mondays from 8:00 am to 4:30 pm (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine Kincaid, can be reached on (571) 272-4063.

The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follows:

- (571) 273-8300 [After Final Communication]
- (571) 273-8300 [Official Communication]
- (571) 273-8300 [For status inquiries, Draft Communication]

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Sajeda Muhebbullah Patent Examiner Art Unit 2174 STUSTINE LINEAU

KRISTINE KINCAUD

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100